

# EXPEDITE

NOTICE RE: CERTIFICATES OF CORRECTION

Paper No. 116

DATE : 11-16-98

TO : Supervisor, Art Unit

3508

SUBJECT : Certificate of Correction Request in Patent No.

51089873

A response to the following question(s) is requested with respect to the accompanying request for a certificate of correction.

- ☐ 1. Would the change(s) requested under 37 CFR 1.323 constitute new matter or require reexamination of the application?
- ☒ 2. Would the change(s) requested under 37 CFR 1.323 materially affect the scope or meaning of the claims allowed by the examiner in the patent?
- ☐ 3. Applicant disagrees with change(s) initialed and dated by Examiner in lieu of an Examiner's Amendment. Should the change request be granted?
- ☐ 4. With respect to the change(s) requested, correcting Office errors, should the patent read as shown in the certificate of correction?
- ☐ 5. If the amendment filed \_\_\_\_\_ had been considered by the Examiner, would the amendment have been entered?

PLEASE RESPOND WITHIN 7 DAYS AND RETURN THE FILE TO  
ROOM 809, PKT

Room 207 - PK 1

*My Brown*  
Patent Assistant

# EXPEDITE

# EXPEDITE

TO: CERTIFICATES OF CORRECTION BRANCH

DATE:

The decision regarding the change(s) requested in the certificate of correction is shown below.

- |                                 |                                        |                                         |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| 1. <input type="checkbox"/> YES | <input type="checkbox"/> NO            | <input type="checkbox"/> Comments below |
| 2. <input type="checkbox"/> YES | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO | <input type="checkbox"/> Comments below |
| 3. <input type="checkbox"/> YES | <input type="checkbox"/> NO            | <input type="checkbox"/> Comments below |
| 4. <input type="checkbox"/> YES | <input type="checkbox"/> NO            | <input type="checkbox"/> Comments below |
| 5. <input type="checkbox"/> YES | <input type="checkbox"/> NO            | <input type="checkbox"/> Comments below |

☒ Comments change ~~note~~ clarifies ~~#~~ ~~claim~~ by removing antecedent basis problem with conical shaped head. Specification makes clear that "shank head" = "conical shaped head"

*[Signature]*  
Supervisor

3627  
Art Unit